EXHIBIT M

REDACTED

LEXITAS ™	

1			4				2
			1				3
1		VOLUME 1	:	1 APPEARANCES	(continued):		
2		PAGES: 1-198 EXHIBITS: See Index		2			
3		EAHIBITS. See Index		3 Also Presen			
	UNITED STATES DI	ISTRICT COURT	'	3 Also Presen	τ:		
4	FOR THE EASTERN DIST		'	4 Jake Befo	re, Videographer		
5	ALEXANDRIA	DIVISION		5 Brian Smi	th, Lexitas (Zo	oom)	
) 5							
6			'	6 Aaron Sal	tz, Magnite General Counsel		
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		7 Linnaea P	etterson, USDOJ Paralegal (Zo	oom)	
7	et al.,)		8			
8	Plaintiffs,) Case No.					
"	riametris,) 1:23-cv-00108-LMB-JFA	'	9			
9	v.)	10	0			
)	1:	1			
10	GOOGLE, LLC,)					
11	Defendant.)	1:	2			
)	1	3			
12		_)	1.	4			
13	** HIGHLY CONF	ייייייי אי **					
14	^^ HIGHLY CONF	TNUTINGUE	1	5			
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION	ON of ADAM SOROCA	1	6			
	Thursday, Augu			7			
16	8:58 a		1	1			
17	AC Hot 225 Albany		18	8			
18	Boston, Mass		1:	9			
19	·						
20	Michelle Keegan,		2	0			
21	Lexit		2	1			
21	508-478-9795 ~ 508 www.LexitasI		2:	2			
22	www.Belliedbl	20941.00	2.	2			
			2				4
1	APPEARANCES:			1	INDEX		
2			:	2			
3	US DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,			_	Deposition of:	Page	
١,	By: Milosz Gudzowski, Es	sq.		3 ADAM SOROCA			
4	By: Michael Wolin, Esq. 450 56th Street NW			4			
5	Washington, D.C. 20530			By Mr. G	udzowski	7, 185	
				5 By Mr. P	earl		
6	Phone: (646) 541-7333			-		84	
	Email: milosz.gudzowski@			6 By MI. I		84	
l _	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc		'	6	EXHIBITS	84	
7	Email: milosz.gudzowski@		'	-		84 Page	
7 8	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff	doj.gov		6 7			
1	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc	doj.gov ER LLP		6 Soroca Nos. 8 Exhibit 1	Email, Bates-numbered		
9	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq.	doj.gov ER LLP		Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1		Page	
8	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usd Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq.	doj.gov ER LLP		Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141	Page	
9	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW	doj.gov ER LLP		Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141	Page 44	
9	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036	doj.gov ER LLP	1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 . Letter dated October 9, 2020,	Page 44	
9	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW	doj.gov ER LLP	:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered	Page 44 Page	
8 9 10 11 12	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com	doj.gov ER LLP	1:	Soroca Nos. Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100	Page 44 Page	
8 9 10 11	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Email: lmartin@axinn.com	doj.gov CR LLP	1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered	Page 44 Page	
8 9 10 11 12 13	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com	doj.gov CR LLP	1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and	Page 44 Page 92	
8 9 10 11 12 13	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Counsel for Defendant Goo	doj.gov CR LLP	1: 1: 1: 1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results"	Page 44 Page 92	
8 9 10 11 12 13	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Email: lmartin@axinn.com	doj.gov ER LLP	1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results" Document, "Edited Transcript,	Page 44 Page 92	
8 9 10 11 12 13	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Counsel for Defendant Good KRESSIN MEADOR LLC	doj.gov ER LLP	1: 1: 1: 1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 2	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results"	Page 44 Page 92	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Email: lmartin@axinn.com Counsel for Defendant Goo KRESSIN MEADOR LLC By: Brandon Kressin, Esc. By: Catherine Larsen, Esc.	doj.gov ER LLP	1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results" Document, "Edited Transcript, Event Date/Time: August 09,	Page 44 Page 92	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Counsel for Defendant Goo KRESSIN MEADOR LLC By: Brandon Kressin, Esq. By: Catherine Larsen, Esq. 5609 Golden Bear Drive Overland Park, Kansas 662	doj.gov ER LLP	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results" Document, "Edited Transcript, Event Date/Time: August 09, 2023/8:30 PM GMT" Document, "Board of Directors Meeting, April 20, 2023,"	Page 44 Page 92 121 129	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Counsel for Defendant Goo KRESSIN MEADOR LLC By: Brandon Kressin, Esc By: Catherine Larsen, Esc 5609 Golden Bear Drive Overland Park, Kansas 662 Phone: (913) 374-0750	ER LLP	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results" Document, "Edited Transcript, Event Date/Time: August 09, 2023/8:30 PM GMT" Document, "Board of Directors Meeting, April 20, 2023," Bates-numbered MAGNITE-00002598	Page 44 Page 92 121 129	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Counsel for Defendant Goo KRESSIN MEADOR LLC By: Brandon Kressin, Esq. By: Catherine Larsen, Esq. 5609 Golden Bear Drive Overland Park, Kansas 662	doj.gov ER LLP	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results" Document, "Edited Transcript, Event Date/Time: August 09, 2023/8:30 PM GMT" Document, "Board of Directors Meeting, April 20, 2023,"	Page 44 Page 92 121 129	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Counsel for Defendant Goo KRESSIN MEADOR LLC By: Brandon Kressin, Esc By: Catherine Larsen, Es 5609 Golden Bear Drive Overland Park, Kansas 662 Phone: (913) 374-0750 Email: brandon@kressinme	doj.gov ER LLP	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results" Document, "Edited Transcript, Event Date/Time: August 09, 2023/8:30 PM GMT" Document, "Board of Directors Meeting, April 20, 2023," Bates-numbered MAGNITE-00002598	Page 44 Page 92 121 129	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Counsel for Defendant Goo KRESSIN MEADOR LLC By: Brandon Kressin, Esc. By: Catherine Larsen, Esc. 5609 Golden Bear Drive Overland Park, Kansas 662 Phone: (913) 374-0750 Email: brandon@kressinme Email: catherine@kressinme	doj.gov ER LLP	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4 Exhibit 4	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results" Document, "Edited Transcript, Event Date/Time: August 09, 2023/8:30 PM GMT" Document, "Board of Directors Meeting, April 20, 2023," Bates-numbered MAGNITE-00002598 through -2671	Page 44 Page 92 121 129 134	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Email: milosz.gudzowski@ Email: michael.wolin@usc Counsel for Plaintiff AXINN, VELTROP & HARKRIDE By: David R. Pearl, Esq. By: Bradley Justus, Esq. By: Luke T. Martin, Esq. 1901 L Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036 Phone: (202) 912-4700 Email: dpearl@axinn.com Email: bjustus@axinn.com Counsel for Defendant Goo KRESSIN MEADOR LLC By: Brandon Kressin, Esc. By: Catherine Larsen, Esc. 5609 Golden Bear Drive Overland Park, Kansas 662 Phone: (913) 374-0750 Email: brandon@kressinme Email: catherine@kressinme	doj.gov ER LLP	1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2:	Soroca Nos. Exhibit 1 Magnite Nos Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 1 Exhibit 2 Exhibit 3 Exhibit 4 Exhibit 4	Email, Bates-numbered RUBICON-00001139 through -1141 Letter dated October 9, 2020, Bates-numbered DOJ-ADS-B-0000025100 through -5101 Document, "Magnite Reports Record Fourth Quarter and Full-Year 2022 Results" Document, "Edited Transcript, Event Date/Time: August 09, 2023/8:30 PM GMT" Document, "Board of Directors Meeting, April 20, 2023," Bates-numbered MAGNITE-00002598 through -2671 Document, "All Hands Meeting	Page 44 Page 92 121 129 134	

				_	
			;	5	7
1 2		EXHIBITS (continued)		1	I have my colleagues Catherine Larsen and Aaron
2	Exhibit 6	United States Securities and	146	2	Saltz from Magnite.
3		Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549,		3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Will the
4 5	Exhibit 7	Form 10-K Document, "August All Hands,	152	4	court reporter please swear in the witness and we
6		Thursday, August 12, 2021," Bates-numbered MAGNITE-00005773		5	can proceed.
		through -5831		6	ADAM SOROCA,
7	Exhibit 8	Document, "Edited Transcript,	155	7	having been satisfactorily identified and duly
8		Event Date/Time: May 10, 2023/8:30 PM GMT"		8	sworn by the Notary Public, was examined and
9	Tabibit 0		168	9	testified as follows:
10	Exhibit 9	Document, "Edited Transcript, Q2 2021 Magnite Inc Earnings	108	10	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
11		Call, Event Date/Time: August 05, 2021/8:30 M GMT"		11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
12	Exhibit 10	Document, "Product Data Sheet, Updated Sep 2, 2011,"	171	12	
13		Bates-numbered MAGNITE-00015312		13	Q. Good morning, Mr. Soroca. My name is
14		through -5320		14	<u> </u>
15	Exhibit 11	Document, "Competition & Markets Authority - Online	175	15	
16		platforms and digital advertising market study:		16	•
		Notice under section 174 of the		17	
17		Enterprise Act 2022, Response of The Rubicon Project,"		18	71 - 71
18		Bates-numbered RUBICON-0000102 through -0155		19	,
19 20				20	•
	* Original	exhibits retained by court reporte	er *	21	-
21	** D	ocuments quoted on the record are		22	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
22		transcribed as read **			. Tall Harrie for the record.
			(3	8
1	Р	ROCEEDINGS		1	A. Adam Lee Soroca.
2	THE \	/IDEOGRAPHER: We are not	w on the	2	Q. What city and state do you live in?
3	record. My	y name is Jake Before. I am tl	ne	3	A. I live in Cambridge, Massachusetts.
4	videograph	ner retained by Lexitas.		4	Q. What city and state do you work in?
5	This is	a video deposition for the Un	ited	5	A. I work in Boston, Massachusetts.
6	States Dis	trict Court for the Eastern Distr	rict of	6	Q. Are there any other cities that you have a
_					

- 7 Virginia.
- Today's date is August 31st, 2023, and the
- 9 video time is 8:58 a.m. This deposition is being
- 10 held at the AC Hotel by Marriott, 225 Albany
- 11 Street, Boston, Massachusetts, in the matter of
- 12 United States of America, et al., versus Google,
- 13 LLC. The deponent is Adam Soroca.
- 14 Would all counsel please voice-identify
- 15 themselves for the record.
- MR. GUDZOWSKI: Milosz Gudzowski for the 16
- 17 United States. I'm joined here with my colleague
- 18 Michael Wolin, also for the United States.
- MR. PEARL: David Pearl for Google. I 19
- 20 have with me my colleagues Bradley Justus and Luke
- 21 Martin.
- 22 MR. KRESSIN: Brandon Kressin for Magnite.

- 7 home?
- A. I have a second home in Sheffield,
- Massachusetts. 9
- 10 Q. Any other cities that you regularly work
- 11 in?
- 12 A. No. Other than visiting offices.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Soroca, are you represented by 13
- 14 counsel today?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Could you please identify your counsel. 16
- 17 A. Brandon.
- 18 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Counsel, could you clarify
- for the record, are you representing both the
- party Magnite and the individual witness? 20
- 21 MR. PEARL: That's correct.
- 22 Q. Mr. Soroca, have you ever been deposed

9 11 1 before? 1 Does that make sense? 2 A. No. 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. I'd like to start with some ground rules 3 Q. So unless I say otherwise, I'm not asking 4 to help the deposition go smoothly. about information that you learned during 5 First, it's very important for us not to preparation for this deposition that comes from 6 talk over each other so the court reporter can get someone else at Magnite and that you did not have an accurate transcription of the deposition. 7 any personal knowledge about. 8 If at any time you don't understand a 8 Does that make sense? 9 question I ask, please let me know and I'll 9 A. Yes. 10 clarify; otherwise, we'll assume that you 10 Q. If at any point you feel like you have 11 understood the question. information that is within the knowledge of 11 12 Does that make sense? Magnite but not within your personal knowledge, 13 A. Yes. please specifically state that you're basing your 13 14 Q. A transcript doesn't record nods, 14 answer on something that is not within your 15 headshakes, hand gestures, so please make sure all 15 personal knowledge, and then we'll take it from 16 your answers are verbal and audible. 16 there. 17 Does that make sense? 17 A. I understand. 18 A. Yes. 18 Q. Thank you. Now I'm going to go through 19 Q. While we're on the record, you cannot 19 some background. 20 communicate with others or consult any notes. 20 Mr. Soroca, what is your position at 21 Does that make sense? 21 Magnite? 22 A. Yes. 22 A. I'm the chief product officer. 10 12 Q. And could you briefly describe your 1 Q. Do you have any notes in front of you? 1 2 A. No. 2 responsibilities as chief product officer? 3 A. As chief product officer, I'm responsible 3 Q. Do you understand that you're sworn here 4 for developing the company's product vision, road 4 to answer all questions in this deposition 5 maps, and go-to-market strategies. 5 truthfully? 6 A. I do. 6 Q. And how long have you worked at Magnite? 7 Q. Do you understand that the information you 7 A. Six years. A little over six years. Q. And could you just briefly describe your 8 provide during this deposition may be used by the 9 roles and responsibilities at Magnite prior to 9 Department of Justice in other civil, criminal, 10 becoming chief product officer? 10 administrative, or regulatory cases or 11 A. I joined Magnite in July of 2017, and I 11 proceedings? 12 was hired to -- I came over through acquisition, 12 A. I do. and the first role was to be the head of the 13 Q. Is there any reason you can't answer 14 global buyer team. Sorry. Head of the global DSP 14 truthfully? 15 A. There is not. 15 team. 16 About six months later, I was asked to 16 Q. Are you taking any medications that might 17 interfere with your ability to answer questions 17 take on and be the head of the full global buyer 18 today? 18 team. 19 A. No. 19 Q. And would you tell us about that position. What were your responsibilities? 20 Q. Mr. Soroca, unless I say otherwise, for 20 21 A. My responsibilities were to call on and 21 all my questions I would like you to answer based

22 on your personal knowledge only.

22 understand the market dynamics for our brand, our

Highly Confidential

1 agency, and our DSP customers, developing

- 2 go-to-market strategies and building those
- 3 relationships.
- Q. And how is that different from what you do
- 5 now?
- A. Now my responsibilities are to build the 6
- 7 road maps that end up delivering software to
- 8 service all of our clients on both the buy and the
- 9 sell side.
- 10 Q. And could you just briefly describe what
- 11 you mean by "road maps."
- 12 A. Road maps would be a list of projects or
- 13 software that we are going to build.
- 14 Q. And who are your clients, generally
- 15 speaking?
- 16 A. Magnite's clients would be DSPs, brands
- 17 and agencies on the buy side, and sellers of
- 18 inventory or publishers on the sell side.
- Q. And where were you before Magnite? 19
- 20 A. I had started a company called nToggle.
- 21 Q. And how long were you there for?
- A. Approximately two and a half to three 22

- 1 Q. What happened to it?
- 2 A. It was acquired by Yahoo.
- 3 Q. And is that when you left?
- 4 A. No. I may not be right. It was either
- 5 acquired by Verizon or Yahoo -- I forget the
- sequencing -- or AOL.
- 7 Q. And why did you leave Millennial Media?
- 8 A. To go start nToggle and a discussion with
- 9 the CEO that it was time for me to move on.
- 10 Q. Before Millennial Media, what did you do?
- A. I worked for a company called Jumptap. 11
- Q. And could you tell us a little bit about 12
- 13 Jumptap.
- 14 A. Jumptap was another mobile ad network and
- 15 programmatic stack.
- 16 Q. And what do you mean by that? What's a
- 17 mobile ad network?
- 18 A. An ad network, we took insertion orders
- 19 from buyers and delivered the campaigns across
- 20 mobile app providers.
- 21 Q. And is Jumptap still around?
- 22 A. It is not.

14

- 1 years.
- 2 Q. And did you say earlier that that company
- 3 was brought over to Magnite?
- 4 A. Correct.
- 5 Q. And could you just describe the
- 6 circumstances of that.
- 7 A. nToggle was acquired by Rubicon Project at
- 8 the time.
- 9 Q. And what did nToggle do?
- 10 A. nToggle provided machine-learning-based
- 11 traffic shaping that took the stream of traffic
- 12 from exchanges and shaped it for the DSPs.
- Q. And before nToggle, where were you at? 14 A. I was at a company called Millennial
- 15 Media.

13

- 16 Q. And what did you do there?
- 17 A. I was the chief product officer.
- 18 Q. And what is Millennial Media?
- 19 A. Millennial Media was an ad network --
- 20 mobile ad network and programmatic ad stack.
- 21 Q. And is that company still around?
- 22 A. It is not.

- 1 Q. What happened?
- 2 A. It was acquired by Millennial Media.
- 3 Q. And before Jumptap, what did you do?
- 4 A. I was at Lycos.
- 5 Q. What did you do there?
- 6 A. I was the general manager of the search
- 7 services.
- 8 Q. And what year was that?
- 9 A. Could you repeat the question?
- 10 Q. What years were you there?
- A. 2002 to 2005. 11
- 12 Q. And I think I forgot to ask, what years
- 13 were you at Jumptap?
- 14 A. 2005 until -- through the acquisition and
- 15 end of Millennial Media, 2014.
- Q. And before Lycos, what did you do? 16
- 17 A. I was at a company called
- 18 MotherNature.com.
- 19 Q. And what was your role there?
- 20 A. I was director of business development.
- 21 Q. And before that?
- A. I was at a company called Cybersmith. 22

20

17 Q. And what did you do there? 1 Q. And how would you characterize Google's 1 2 A. I was a director of business development. position in the display publisher ad server 3 Q. When did you graduate college? 3 business? 4 A. 1994. 4 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 5 Q. Okay. And so between 1994 and -- did you 5 Q. You can answer. 6 have a position before Cybersmith? 6 A. Dominant. 7 A. I did. 7 Q. And can you say that again? Sorry. 8 Q. What did you do? 8 A. Dominant. 9 A. I was a ski tour operator. 9 Q. And why do you view Google as the dominant 10 Q. Did that have any role in digital 10 publisher ad server for display? A. I believe them to have market share in the 11 advertising? 11 12 A. It did not. 12 95 to 97 percent range. 13 Q. So I'm going to move on. I'm going to ask Q. Mr. Soroca, what is an ad exchange for 13 14 you a little bit about Google's position in the display advertising? 14 15 display ad tech space. 15 A. An ad exchange is a piece of software that 16 My first question is, Mr. Soroca, what is in real time auctions off in display inventory or 16 17 a publisher ad server for display advertising? 17 any other form of inventory. 18 A. A publisher ad server is a piece of 18 Q. And which company has the largest ad 19 software that is used to control and schedule and 19 exchange for display? 20 decision the ads that get served on a publisher's 20 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 21 inventory. 21 A. I believe that to be Google. 22 Q. And in the display advertising space, 22 Q. And what's their product called? 18 1 could you explain a little bit what display 1 A. It is now a part of GAM. 2 advertising is? 2 Q. And what was it called before it was a MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 3 part of GAM? 3 A. Display advertising is a form of 4 A. AdX. 5 advertising where a digital ad unit is served on a 5 Q. Does it still go by AdX? 6 web page or other form of media that is fairly 6 A. Colloquially. 7 static in nature and delivered and input in front 7 Q. And, Mr. Soroca, how would you 8 of a consumer. characterize Google AdX's position in the display Q. Mr. Soroca, which company has the largest 9 ad exchange business? 9 10 display publisher ad server? 10 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 11 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 11 A. The dominant player in the market. 12 A. I believe that to be Google. 12 Q. And why do you view Google's AdX as the 13 Q. And what's their product called? 13 dominant display ad exchange? 14 A. Google Ad Manager. 14 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 15 Q. Has it had other names before? 15 A. As we talk with our publishers and our 16 A. Yes. 16 advertisers, they signal that that is the case. 17 Q. What were those names? 17 Q. So are you saying publishers have told you 18 A. DoubleClick for Publishers. 18 this? 19 Q. Any other names, do you believe? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Not that I recall. 20 Q. And any other participants in the 21 advertising market, have they told you this as Q. How would you characterize --

A. Sorry. Just DoubleClick.

22

22 well?

24

- 1 A. The marketers as well, including
- 2 advertising agencies.
- 3 Q. Any others?
- 4 A. No.
- 5 Q. And with respect to Google AdX's dominant
- 6 position in the marketplace, has that changed in
- the last five years?
- 8 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 10 Q. And so you view that they're still
- dominant over the last five years? 11
- 12 MR. PEARL: Objection.
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 MR. PEARL: Form.
- 15 Q. For display advertising, among the various
- 16 different exchanges, which exchange does Magnite
- 17 compete with most closely?
- 18 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 19 A. We view our direct competitors as
- 20 companies including PubMatic; Index Exchange;
- 21 OpenX; now inside of Microsoft, Xandr.
- 22 Q. And why didn't you say AdX in that list of

- 1 Q. And you say that that's share from AdX
 - that you're saying that you can't bring onto your
 - 3 exchange?
 - 4 MR. PEARL: Objection to form.
 - 5 A. Correct.
 - 6 Q. In the industry, is the term "sell side" a
 - 7 phrase that's used in the industry, as you can
 - recall? 8
 - 9 A. Yes.
 - 10 Q. And what's that phrase mean?
 - 11 A. The sell side will typically refer to the
 - software that is running on publisher inventory 12
 - and help to monetize it. 13
 - 14 Q. And how would you characterize Google's
 - 15 position on the sell side in the display
 - 16 advertising market?
 - 17 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
 - 18 A. Dominant.
 - 19 Q. And why would you view Google as having a
 - 20 dominant position on the sell side of the display
 - 21 advertising market?
 - 22 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.

22

- A. Because they're in a different category in
- 3 terms of their size and our ability to compete and
- 4 take share.

1 companies?

2

- 5 Q. And why do you say they're in their own
- 6 category?
- 7 A. They have such a significant share
- 8 differential between us and our peers that there
- 9 seems to be a ceiling on which we can gain ground.
- 10 And so we typically just try to take share
- 11 primarily from the list that I gave you.
- 12 Q. And to make sure I had the list right,
- 13 what were the companies on the list that you
- 14 consider as your peers?
- 15 MR. PEARL: Objection to form.
- 16 A. PubMatic, Index Exchange, OpenX, Xandr
- 17 inside of Microsoft.
- 18 Q. And what is the ceiling?
- 19 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 20 A. It's unclear to us, but there seems to be
- 21 a part of the budgets that we cannot bring onto
- 22 our exchange.

- 1 A. Because of the feedback that we've
- 2 received from our buyers and sellers.
- 3 Q. And who are your buyers and sellers?
- 4 A. Sellers would be all of the publishers
- 5 that are on our platform. Those are digital
- websites or mobile app companies.
- 7 The buy side, we would hear that through
- the advertising agencies or the direct marketers,
- 9 marketers directly themselves.
- 10 Q. And has that changed in the last five
- 11 years?
- 12 A. No, not to my knowledge.
- 13 Q. I'm going to shift gears a little bit.
- 14 Which company has the largest display
- 15 advertiser ad network?
- MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 16
- 17 A. I don't know the answer to that.
 - Q. Is "advertiser ad network" a phrase that's
- 19 used in the industry or is that not a common
- 20 phrase?
- 21 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 22 A. It's a phrase I'm familiar with.

- 1 Q. Okay. And what does it mean to you?
- 2 A. An ad network would typically be a company
- 3 that provides -- they get insertion orders from
- 4 marketers, and they deliver those campaigns on to
- 5 publishers or the marketers log into a system to
- deliver the inventory on to publishers.
- 7 Q. And have you heard of Google demand
- network? Is that something that -- a company
- 9 you're familiar with --
- 10 MR. PEARL: Objection.
- 11 Q. -- or something you're familiar with?
- 12 MR. PEARL: Objection.
- 13 A. Could you repeat the name?
- 14 Q. Google demand network.
- 15 A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

- 16 Q. What are they?
- 17 A. An ad network.
- 18 Q. Okay. And how would you characterize
- 19 Google demand network's position in this market?
- 20 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 21 A. Very strong.

6 you're familiar with?

A. Yes.

platform?

22 Q. And why do you view Google demand network

as having a very strong position in this market?

the money flow that comes from that source.

Q. And is "demand-side platform" a phrase

Q. And what is a display demand-side

12 on inventory from supply-side platforms or

MR. PEARL: Objection, form.

A. Publishers tell us how much they rely on

A. Demand-side platform is a system that bids

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I think we just lost

MR. PEARL: Objection, form.

- 1 A. It does.
- 2 Q. And what is it called?
- 3 A. DV360.
- 4 Q. And how would you characterize DV360's

27

28

- position in the demand-side platform market?
- 6 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 7 A. Dominant.
- 8 Q. And why do you view DV360 as having a
- 9 dominant position?
- 10 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 11 A. They're known in the industry to be the
- 12 largest.
- 13 Q. And could you elaborate who in the
- industry has given you that information? 14
- 15 MR. PEARL: Objection to form.
- 16 A. We see it on our platform and we hear it
- 17 from our publishers and others in the industry.
- 18 Q. And broadly speaking, how would you
- characterize Google's position in display 19
- 20 advertising technology?
- 21 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 22 A. Dominant.

- 1 Q. And why would you say Google is dominant
 - in the display advertising technology? 2
 - 3 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
 - A. From end to end, from the buy side through 4
 - 5 the sell side, they're by far the largest player.
 - 6 Q. And so what problems, if any, does
 - 7 Google's dominance across the display advertising
 - technology create for exchanges?
 - 9 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
 - 10 A. That's the ceiling. We feel that it
 - 11 limits our ability to grow at a rate that we
 - could. 12
 - Q. Does it limit your ability to compete?
 - 14 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
 - 15 A. To gain share from them, yes.
 - 16 Q. And can you give us a little bit more
 - 17 description of how their dominance actually does
 - 18 that?
 - 19 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
 - 20 A. For example, when we approach agencies to
 - engage in broader relationships or supply-path 21
 - 22 optimization deals, the size of those deals or the

26

13

- 15 power.
- 16 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Let's go off the record. 17
- (Off the record, 9:18 a.m. to 9:19 a.m.)
- 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 9:19 a.m.,
- 19 and we are back on the record.

exchanges in real time.

- 20 BY MR. GUDZOWSKI:
- 21 Q. Mr. Soroca, does Google have a demand-side
- 22 platform?

- 1 growth that we could expect from executing a deal
- 2 like that is typically limited because we couldn't
- 3 take as much because the buyers know that they're
- 4 going to end up spending a substantial amount
- 5 through a pipe that they don't have control over.
- 6 Q. And I'll come back to this topic later on.
- 7 But are there any kind of examples of --
- 8 that you can give where Google's dominance is
- 9 inhibiting Magnite's ability to compete?
- 10 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 11 A. There are cases where we've spoken with
- 12 agencies about doing larger deals, and they said
- 13 yes, we're going to do something with you. But
- 14 there's a limit to how big it can be because of
- 15 our inability to control where our money goes
- 16 through the DV360 path.
- 17 Q. Does Google's Publisher ad server run
- 18 auctions?
- 19 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. And how -- what's the product that's
- 22 running auctions, again?

- 1 a technique that was used to circumvent or
- 2 thumb-on-scale the auction, and other techniques
- 3 were believed to have been used. And that would
- 4 have come through our publisher partners' talking
- 5 to us.
- 6 But without seeing inside the ad server
- 7 and knowing the mechanics, I don't have specific
- 8 knowledge other than the belief in the industry.
- 9 Q. And with the last look, could you describe
- 10 what that is?
- 11 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 12 A. Last look is a feature inside the ad
- 13 server that after the primary set of demand was
- 14 considered Google had a chance to beat it with one
- 15 last look at it and pay one cent higher than
- 16 everybody else. And Google was the only one that
- 17 had access to that pricing information.
- 18 Q. And would you consider that fair?
- 19 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 20 A. I don't consider it fair.
- 21 Q. And what risks, if any, did Google's last
- 22 look create for Magnite?

2 A. There are two layers of auctions, as I

MR. PEARL: Objection, form.

- 3 understand it. There's a first auction that
- 4 happens in the programmatic space, and that would
- 5 be AdX.

1

- 6 And then there is an auction that happens
- 7 in the ad server, and that would be GAM.
- 8 Q. And the ad server you're referring to is
- 9 the Publisher ad server?
- 10 A. The Publisher ad server.
- 11 Q. And when GAM runs its Publisher ad server
- 12 auctions, how would you characterize those
- 13 auctions in terms of fairness?
- 14 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 15 A. I have no context to see what's inside the
- 16 ad server.
- 17 Q. Do you have any view, just based on
- 18 industry or just your experience with interacting
- 19 with GAM or just a conversation you've had with
- 20 others?
- 21 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 22 A. Their last look was believed to have been

- 1 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 2 A. It meant that we could not deliver
- 3 campaigns at the full rate for our buyers at which
- 4 they could. It also meant that perhaps our
- 5 publishers were not seeing the full monetization
- 6 that they could because the real price curve was
- 7 obfuscated.
- 8 Q. And what gives them the ability to do last
- 9 look?

30

- 10 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 11 A. They were the ad server -- or they are the
- 12 ad server.
- 13 Q. Does this have an impact on advertisers?
- 14 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. And what impact would that be?
- 17 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 18 A. Advertisers don't necessarily have their
- 19 demand flow through the pipes that they have
- 20 established deals with or prefer.
- 21 Q. And I asked about Magnite, but what
- 22 impact, if any, does this have on competition in

- 1 So the Rubicon Project index would all sit
- 2 somewhere in the waterfall. It would call down
- 3 linearly or serially.
- 4 When header bidding came, it gave the
- 5 exchanges the ability to all get a bid in at the
- same time for all of the ad impressions.
- 7 Q. And what did that do for competition?
- 8 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 9 A. I believe it helped.
- 10 Q. I think earlier you said you don't believe
- 11 Google's AdX market share changed appreciably in
- 12 the last five years or its dominance hasn't
- 13 changed.
- 14 Why do you say that, notwithstanding the
- 15 header bidding?
- 16 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 17 A. So I will give the answer based on
- 18 conversations that I've had with our publisher
- 19 team in preparation for today.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- 21 A. Through their conversations in the market,
- 22 publishers will tell us at the high end that the

other exchanges, what impact, if any, would that

43

44

- have on Magnite?
- 3 MR. PEARL: Objection to form.
- 4 A. Could you repeat the question?
- 5 Q. Sure. If DV360's bidding, the way it
- 6 bids, was altered in a way to kind of push more
- spending to AdX versus other exchanges, versus
- Magnite, what impact would that have on Magnite?
- 9 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 10 A. It limits our ability to grow.
- 11 Q. And why is that?
- A. Because there are advertiser budgets that 12
- 13 would be steered away from our exchange in favor
- 14 of AdX.
- 15 Q. And what effect, if any, would that have
- 16 on competition in the exchange market?
- 17 MR. PEARL: Objection to form.
- A. It limits who the real -- the group of 18
- 19 competitors who compete amongst Magnite and the
- peers that I mentioned versus the ability to 20
- 21 really compete against AdX.
- 22 Q. All right. I think I'm going to show you

- 1 share of wallet that AdX has is about -- it can be
- 2 70 percent, unless the publisher wants to
- 3 deliberately mitigate the amount of spend that
- 4 goes through AdX through a bunch of configurations
- 5 and can get the share of wallet down to 40
- 6 percent.
- 7 Q. But is it your view, notwithstanding
- 8 header bidding, that AdX's dominance hasn't
- changed in the past five years?
- 10 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 11 A. I agree with that statement.
- 12 Q. And why do you say that?
- 13 A. From the conversations that our company
- 14 has had with publishers throughout that period of
- 15 time.
- 16 Q. What percentage of Magnite's display
- 17 revenue comes from DV360, approximately?
- 18 MR. PEARL: Objection, form.
- 19 A. Today, approximately 37 percent.
- 20 Q. And if let's say DV360's bidding
- 21 algorithms were kind of constructed in a way to
- 22 push more spending to AdX and less spending to

- 1 a document.
- 2 MR. GUDZOWSKI: I'm going to mark it
- 3 Soroca Exhibit 1.
- 4 (Soroca Exhibit 1 marked for
- 5 identification)
- 6 Q. I'll hand that to you. Mr. Soroca, if you
- 7 don't mind taking a read. And let me know when
- 8 you've finished reading it.
- 9 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Just for the record, this
- 10 is Bates Number RUBICON-00001139.
- 11 Q. Mr. Soroca, is this an email from Todd
- 12 Smith to you?
- A. The email is from Todd Smith to a group, 13
- 14 and I'm included on that group.
- 15 Q. Just briefly, who are the people on the
- 16 group?
- 17 A. The group includes Mark Balabanian, Jen
- 18 Fagnini, Joe Prusz, Ryan Mulcahy, and Tom Kershaw.
- Q. And who is Todd Smith? 19
- 20 A. Todd Smith was a member of the Rubicon
- 21 Project team on our business development group,
- 22 and he, amongst other things, managed the Google

101 103 1 answer. eliminating the believed unfair practices would be 2 Q. When you became aware that the Department better for competition amongst Magnite and our 3 of Justice was investigating Google's ad tech 4 4 business, did you want that to result in a lawsuit Q. So would that be better for Magnite's 5 against Google? 5 bottom line? 6 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection. A. It would be speculating. Potentially. 6 7 MR. KRESSIN: And objection again as to 7 Q. Did you believe that -- do you believe 8 that Magnite would be more financially successful scope. 9 You can answer from your own personal as a result of this lawsuit? 10 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection. 10 knowledge. 11 A. So repeat the question, please. 11 MR. KRESSIN: Objection regarding the 12 Q. When you learned that the Department of 12 scope. 13 Justice was investigating Google's ad tech 13 You can answer from your personal 14 business, did you want that investigation to lead 14 knowledge. 15 to a lawsuit against Google? 15 A. Yes. 16 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. Mr. Soroca, what is your view of 17 the market share of Google's AdX product? MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection. 17 A. This is an answer from Magnite's point of 18 18 Sorry. 19 Q. Sorry. I missed the answer. Could you 19 view? 20 answer that again. Q. Correct. 20 21 A. Yes. 21 A. Somewhere in the 70 percent range. 22 Q. Thank you. Did Magnite welcome the 22 Q. Would your view on the dominance of AdX 102 104 1 lawsuit against Google? change if you learned that AdX's share was 2 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection. 2 actually lower than, I think you said, 70 percent? 3 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection, form. MR. KRESSIN: Same scope objection. 3 4 4 Again, only to the degree you can answer A. No. 5 without revealing attorney-client information. Q. Why not? 5 6 A. I don't know what "welcome" means. What A. Because the view that we get from our 7 do you mean by "welcome"? 7 publishers and our marketers indicates that 8 Q. Were you happy when you learned of the 8 there's Google and there's everyone else. lawsuit against Google? 9 Q. So your views on AdX's dominance are from 9 10 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection. what you hear from publishers? 11 MR. KRESSIN: Same objections. MR. KRESSIN: Objection, form. 11 12 A. Happy, no. 12 A. Publishers and marketers. Q. Did you believe it would be good for 13 13 Q. Have you done any studies of the 14 Magnite's business when you learned of the lawsuit 14 features -- of AdX's features? against Google? 15 A. No. 16 MR. KRESSIN: Same objections. 16 Q. Have you done any studies on DFP's 17 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection. 17 features? 18 A. I believe it would be good for the 18 A. No. 19 industry. 19 Q. Have you done any studies of DV360's 20 Q. What do you mean when you say "good for features? 20 21 the industry"? 21 A. No. 22 A. That leveling the playing field and 22 Q. Do you have any personal basis for your

105 1 view that AdX is dominant? 1 Q. But that's only -- that's the only thing 2 A. Are you asking about the market share or 2 you're basing it on? 3 are you asking about for the rationale? 3 MR. KRESSIN: Objection. Q. I'm asking whether you have any personal 4 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection. 5 experience regarding AdX's market share. 5 A. I would base it on that and the publishers 6 A. Only of that from working with our that we have aren't asking us for certain features 7 publisher team. 7 that we are deficient in or certain features that 8 Q. So only from what you've heard from we are missing other than those that are blocked 9 others? 9 from us from GAM. 10 10 A. From our publisher team. Yes. Q. Have you done any studies on the technical 11 Q. Can you rule out that Google's success is features of the Google product that you've 11 12 due to its offering superior products? 12 referred to as last look? 13 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection, form. 13 A. No. 14 MR. KRESSIN: Objection. 14 Q. Have you done any study of the impacts on 15 A. The mechanics of the ad ecosystem related the market of the product you referred to as last 15 16 to the way money gets funded really have very 16 look? 17 little to do with features. It has to do with how 17 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection, form. 18 much demand at what price is put into the auction. 18 A. No. 19 Q. Can you explain what you mean? 19 Q. Is your view of the feature that you're 20 A. As traffic moves from a page loading and calling last look based entirely on what other 20 21 ad opportunity, that ad opportunity is basically a 21 people have told you about it? 22 jump ball. 22 106 1 And it just -- what really matters is what 1 A. Yes. 2 price comes back to determine the winner. And so 3 it has very little to do with features. It's a 4 matter of what price gets returned and what price 5 makes it down to the final auction and what the 5 highest bid? 6 final auction will clear the transaction at. 6 7 Q. Can the features of an exchange influence 7 8 whether a price is higher or lower for a marketer? produce. 9 A. They can. 9 10 Q. But I think -- let me withdraw that. 11 Your view is that the quality of -- is 12 your view that the quality of an ad exchange has 12 advertiser?

MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection, form. 108 Q. Do you have any examples of the feature you're referring to as last look actually winning an auction for one penny more than the next MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection, form. A. I have no transactions or no data to Q. Are you aware if the feature known as "last look" only allowed Google to win auctions when it was delivering the highest bid from an 13 no bearing on whether it's successful or not? 13 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection, form. 14 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection, form. 14 A. That was last look by definition, that it 15 A. Once you reach to a certain level of got a second look at the impression to deliver at 16 capability, there's very little that additional a higher rate. 16 17 features would do to create such separation in the 17 Q. And does that mean that the bid that AdX 18 market. was bringing was the highest bid compared to all 19 Q. And what's your basis for reaching that 19 the other bids? 20 conclusion? 20 A. The second bid that AdX brought was the 21 A. Years of experience of understanding how 21 highest bid. 22 the money flows in the industry. Q. So again, there was not a situation as a 22 www.LexitasLegal.com/Premier Lexitas

117 119 he's answering for himself or from the company. 1 Q. And so you have two exchanges. Is that 2 MR. JUSTUS: We object to you asking 2 correct? 3 A. We do. questions during Mr. Pearl's questioning. Q. And if I use "exchange" and "SSP" THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would you like to go synonymously today, will you understand me to be 5 off the record? talking about the same thing? 6 The time is 12:02 p.m., and we are going 7 A. Yes. 7 off the record. 8 (Lunch recess, 12:02 p.m. to 12:49) 8 Q. So you referenced a CTV exchange. What's 9 9 that called? 10 10 A. That product is called "Streaming." 11 Q. Just Streaming. And another exchange that 11 12 does, I think you said, display and video? 12 A. Display, online video. So we would 13 13 14 14 characterize that as short-form video. Audio and 15 15 digital out of home. 16 Q. And what's that one called? 16 17 A. That one is called "DV+." 17 Q. Okay. I think you mentioned a header 18 18 19 bidding wrapper. What's the name of that product? 19 20 A. We don't have a heading bidder wrapper. 20 21 21 We have wrapper management software. So that is 22 software that configures the prebid wrapper on 118 120 1 AFTERNOON SESSION 1 behalf of publishers. That product is called 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:49 p.m., "Demand Manager." 2 3 and we are back on the record. Q. Okay. And the ad server we talked about 3 4 BY MR. PEARL: earlier, that's SpringServe? 5 Q. Hello again, Mr. Soroca. I wanted to A. Correct. 5 6 start with some basics. 6 Q. Do you offer a product called "ClearLine?" 7 Can you tell me what ad tech products 7 A. We do offer a product called "ClearLine." 8 Magnite offers today. 8 Q. What is that? A. Sure. We have a number of ad tech 9 A. ClearLine is a product that's tied to the 10 products. I'll talk about them distinctly on our 10 SpringServe ad server. It is for direct buying of 11 CTV business and our DV+ business. particularly OTT and CTV inventory, inventory 12 On CTV, those are our products and where the buyer and seller have prenegotiated 13 services, the over the top and connected their rates. So they've prenegotiated PMP, 14 television space. We have products that range private marketplace, or PG, programmatic 15 from an ad server to an exchange. 15 guaranteed deal. 16 On the DV+ side of the business, that's 16 And so the fixed-price buying is something 17 our display online video side of the business. We that buyers came to us and said we'd rather not 18 have a wrapper management software that we call 18 use a DSP. We'd rather just buy it directly. 19 "Demand Manager." And we have an ad exchange that Q. Got it. Thank you. 19 20 we call "DV+." 20 MR. PEARL: Can you grab Tab 3, please. 21 And those are tied together between some 21 Q. I'm going to be showing you an exhibit 22 user interfaces and audience identity tools. 22 that we'll mark as Magnite Exhibit 2.

121 123 1 1 (Magnite Exhibit 2 marked for Q. If you flip over to the next page, can you 2 identification) 2 tell me what Magnite's gross profits were for the 3 Q. Let me know when you're ready. year ending December 31st, 2022? 4 A. Okay. 4 A. The gross profits listed here would be 5 Q. Have you seen this document before? 5 \$269.9 million. 6 A. In this form, no. Q. And then I'm going to flip us back. Can 6 7 7 you tell me what percentage growth Magnite Q. Have you seen it in a different form? A. Parts of it are -- yeah. Yes, I have. 8 experienced in revenue between 2021 and 2022, 9 Q. What is it? 9 there at the bottom? 10 10 A. These are our fourth-quarter 2022 and A. For the full year or the three months 11 full-year '22 results. 11 ending? I missed the question. 12 Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 2 as a true and 12 Q. The full year. 13 accurate copy of those fourth-quarter and 13 A. 23 percent favorable. 14 full-year 2022 results? 14 Q. Is 23 percent a significant amount of 15 A. I can't compare it to the actual, but I 15 growth? 16 have no reason to believe it's not. 16 MR. KRESSIN: Objection, form. Q. Okay. Was Exhibit 2 prepared in the 17 A. I don't know what to compare that to. 18 normal course of Magnite's business at the time it 18 Q. Does Magnite view 23 percent as a 19 was created, the document that is depicted here? 19 significant amount of growth for its business? 20 A. I believe that to be true. 20 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Objection, form. 21 21 Q. If you look at the very first line of the A. We feel that we executed well in the 22 document, it says, "Magnite is the world's largest 22 industry, given the constraints that we have. 122 124 Q. Okay. You can put that aside. 1 independent sell-side advertising platform." 1 2 Do you see where I'm talking about? 2 I want to go -- I want to ask a little bit 3 about your DV+ product that we were just talking A. I do. 3 4 Q. Did I read that correctly? 4 5 A. Yes. 5 First, does DV+ stand for something? A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. What does that mean? 6 7 A. I didn't author the document, but I would 7 Q. What is it? 8 interpret it to mean, as we characterize our A. Display video and everything else other 8 9 business, that we are the leader amongst the 9 than CTV and OTT. 10 independent category of SSPs, meaning the peer set 10 Q. And what would you say the key 11 that we referenced earlier. 11 functionalities of DV+ are? 12 We're not a part of Microsoft. We're not 12 A. DV+ has all of the core components of an 13 a part of Google. We're not a part of Comcast. ad exchange. We onboard traffic. We traffic 14 Q. If you go down to the chart that starts at shape with advanced algorithms. We make that 15 the very bottom of the first page and then carries traffic available to buyers through advanced 16 on to the next page, can you tell me what traffic-shaping algorithms. We have pricing 17 Magnite's revenue for year-end -- for the year algorithms and yield optimization. We have 18 ending December 31st, 2022 was? 18 curation tools to allow buyers to group their 19 A. The full year? inventory and target their inventory. 19 20 Q. Correct. And we have a robust set of deal tools 20 21 A. Listed here, December 31st, 2022. 2022 is 21 that allow sellers and buyers to connect to

22 listed at \$577.1 million.

22 traffic PMPs, private marketplaces, and PG deals.

193 195 Q. Is it fair to say it's a meaningful cost, 1 A. Yes. 2 building auction capacity? 2 Q. In the display ad tech space, which MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 3 3 company has the most revenue? A. It's meaningful. Our CapEx numbers are 4 4 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 5 disclosed in our statements. 5 A. Presumably Google. 6 Q. And why do you say that? 7 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 8 A. Because they are the largest in the MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 9 9 category. 10 A. Yes. 10 Q. And what categories are they the largest 11 in? 12 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 13 A. What categories are you looking for? 14 Q. Specifically within display ad tech, which 15 categories of that technology would you say they're the dominant -- the largest in? 16 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 17 18 A. They're the largest DSP, they're the 19 largest SSP, and they're the largest ad server. MR. GUDZOWSKI: All right. Thank you. I 20 21 think we're okay on our end. 22 I'll pass it off to you. 194 196 Q. And your net revenue per 1 million 1 MR. PEARL: Can we take a quick recess? 2 auctions also dropped. Is that right? 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:53 p.m., 3 MR. PEARL: Object to form. and we are going off the record. 3 4 4 (Recess, 2:53 p.m. to 2:59 p.m.) A. Correct. 5 Q. So after investing in the capacity 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:59 p.m. 6 build-out, your take rate -- sorry -- your take We are going on the record. 7 rate, win rate, and net revenue per 1 million 7 MR. PEARL: We have no further questions. Thank you, Mr. Soroca. auction all fell? 8 9 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 9 MR. GUDZOWSKI: Thank you, Mr. Soroca. 10 10 MR. KRESSIN: Again, I'd like to designate A. Yes. 11 Q. And would you describe that as hitting a 11 the transcript as highly confidential. THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This concludes today's 12 ceiling, perhaps? 12 deposition. The time is 3:00 p.m., and we are 13 MR. PEARL: Objection, form. 14 A. I would characterize this as approaching a going off the record. 14 15 ceiling. I would not say that this has hit a 15 (Off the record at 3:00 p.m.) 16 ceiling. 16 17 Q. And why is that? 17 18 A. Because the net for 1 million auctions 18 19 19 would drop much greater than that. 20 Q. I think early on you had talked about how 20 21 revenues increased in Magnite. Is that right? 21 MR. PEARL: Objection to form. 22 22

		197
1	COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS	
2	SUFFOLK, SS.	
3		
4	I, Michelle Keegan, Registered Merit Reporter	
5	and Notary Public in and for the Commonwealth of	
6	Massachusetts, do hereby certify that ADAM SOROCA,	
7	the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set	
8	forth, was duly sworn by me and that such	
9	deposition is a true record, to the best of my	
10	ability, of the testimony given by the witness.	
11	I further certify that I am neither related to	
12	or employed by any of the parties in or counsel to	
13	this action, nor am I financially interested in	
14	the outcome of this action.	
15	In witness whereof, I have hereunto set $\mathfrak{m} y$ hand	
16	and seal this 1st day of September, 2023.	
17		
18	VI. 11 5	
19) lichela ilega	
20	Notary Public	
21	My commission expires:	
22	May 15, 2026	
	1.02	
_		
		198
1	ERRATA SHEET	198
1 2		198
2	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have	198
2	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and	198
2 3 4	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and	198
2 3 4 5	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the	198
2 3 4 5 6	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed	198
2 3 4 5	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the	198
2 3 4 5 6	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed	198
2 3 4 5 6	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below):	198
2 3 4 5 6 7	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I, ADAM SOROCA, do hereby certify that I have read the foregoing transcript of my testimony, and further certify that said transcript is a true and accurate record of my testimony (with the exception of the following corrections listed below): Page Line Correction	198